Abstract—Vanadium dioxide (VO₂) undergoes a metal-insulator transition (MIT) around a temperature close to 68°C, which enables many digital-like applications including memory devices, sensors, phase change switches, etc. However, the digital-like abruptness of the MIT of VO₂ across a sharp and narrow temperature window limits its usage in the development of applications that demand an analog operation mode with continuously tunable properties. Achieving precise and continuous phase tuning of VO₂ films will enable more powerful and capable devices for analog applications in different fields. Unlike the traditional chemical doping approach which sacrifices the modulation depth, this work incorporates a robust feedback control mechanism into VO₂ films to achieve continuous phase tuning of the intermediate states within the entire phase transition region. In order to attenuate the adverse effect of hysteresis in many applications, like electric switches [15] or optical switches [16], [17], tries to avoid the MIT region and is limited to digital-like ON/OFF states. A thermal signal can be used to trigger the phase transition, leading from a high-resistance/transmittance to a low-resistance/transmittance, i.e., inducing the switching behavior. However, the applicability of VO₂ stands to be broadened if the intermediate states within the phase transition region are better tuned.

The chemical doping is a traditional approach used to continuously tune the MIT property of VO₂ as reported in [16], [18], [19], [20]. By doping VO₂ films with foreign cations like W⁶⁺, Nb⁵⁺, Ti⁴⁺, Cr⁶⁺ and Al³⁺, one can modify the shape of MIT region [16]. Specifically, by decreasing the magnitude of the slope of this region, the tuning can be made easier. In [18], by modifying the concentration of tungsten (W) for W-doped VO₂, the gradual tuning of the MIT region and the modulation depth mₚ was achieved in a proper range. However, this tuning is achieved by sacrificing the modulation depth [18]. This is not desirable because the performance of many tunable devices is critically dependent on the modulation depth.

Therefore, achieving the precise phase tuning for VO₂ films with a high modulation depth is a challenging problem. This work aims to incorporate the feedback mechanism into the VO₂ films and achieve the precise phase tuning within the full operational range. To tackle with the severe hysteresis nonlinearity as well as the manufacturing uncertainties, both model-based and non-model-based control methodologies have been investigated in the literature. For the model-based control, a hysteresis model is required and an inverse hysteresis model is then implemented to attenuate the hysteresis effect. For example, the Preisach model is studied in [21] to describe the hysteresis phenomenon of optical
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Figure 1. (a) Transmittance-temperature characteristic of a VO₂ thin film; (b) Resistance-temperature characteristic of a VO₂ thin film.
and electric properties of a $VO_2$ sample. In [4], [5], [22], the generalized Prandtl-Ishlinskii (GPI) model is investigated for $VO_2$-based actuators. However, such hysteresis models are always mathematically complicated and highly sensitive to system parameters. These bring new challenges for the model-based control methodologies. Therefore, a non-model-based controller that is easily implementable and does not rely on much hysteresis model information is preferred. In [23], [24], a classical proportional-integral (PI)-type controller is applied to control a $VO_2$ integrated micro-actuator, and the deflection can be well controlled. Compared to the PI controller, a novel robust controller called uncertainty and disturbance estimator (UDE)-based robust control [25], [26] has been shown to have a superior robust performance and successfully applied to many practical systems. The main advantage of this robust control methodology is that it can achieve an excellent performance while only using limited system information (i.e., operation bandwidth and steady-state gain). Therefore, this paper adopts the UDE-based robust control for precise phase tuning of $VO_2$ films, and the optical transmittance is considered as the controlled property.

Once the proposed robust feedback control mechanism can achieve the precise phase tuning of $VO_2$ within its full operational range, the overall closed-loop system can be regarded as a $VO_2$ device with a reconfigurable phase transition region. All the intermediate states within the phase transition region can be treated as operational states, and the achieved continuous phase tuning enables the usage of $VO_2$ device in analog applications.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II gives the system description and the control problem formulation. The main results are demonstrated in Section III. The experimental validation is provided in Section IV, which is followed by some concluding remarks in Section V. The variables used in this paper are defined as, $y(t)$ is the optical transmittance value of $VO_2$ films, $y_m(t)$ is the reference signal, $v(t)$ is the temperature of the $VO_2$ sample, $u(t)$ is the input voltage for the thermolectric (TE) module, and $u_T(t)$ is a user-defined regulation signal. Furthermore, there are two quantities which are determined by the physical properties of $VO_2$ films, the modulation depth $m_T$ and the phase transition window width $w_T$.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Description

![Figure 2. The block diagram for a $VO_2$ system.](image)

As shown in Fig. 2, the system consists of a $VO_2$ film and a TE module. By applying a proper control input voltage $u(t)$, the $VO_2$ film temperature $v(t)$ can be regulated, and the optical transmittance output $y(t)$ will be changed. The temperature-transmittance relationship of the $VO_2$ film suffers a severe hysteresis nonlinearity. In the literature, the hysteresis nonlinearity can be described by using the Preisach model [21], the GPI model [4], etc. Generally, the following operator (1) can be adopted to represent the hysteresis nonlinearity.

$$y(t) = \Phi[v](t).$$

Furthermore, the dynamics of the TE module can be described as

$$\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = -\alpha_1 (v(t) - v_0) + \frac{\beta_1 u(t)}{\alpha_2} + \beta_2,$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ are physical constants, $\beta_2$ is an external disturbance, and $v_0$ is the ambient temperature. Let $T = \frac{1}{\alpha_1}, K = \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$, and $\delta(t) = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1} + v_0$, (2) can be expressed as

$$Tv(t) = -v(t) + Ku(t) + \delta(t).$$

The parameters $T$ and $K$ represent the time constant and the DC gain of the TE module, respectively. $\delta(t)$ represents the total effect of disturbances and uncertainties.

B. Problem Formulation

![Figure 3. Conception of an empowered $VO_2$ device with the reconfigurable phase transition.](image)

To empower $VO_2$ with a reconfigurable phase transition and achieve its full operational range, a feedback structure is proposed as shown in Fig. 3(a). In this structure, the real part consists of the $VO_2$ film and the TE module, while a reference model and a feedback controller form a virtual part. The reference model uses $u_T(t)$ as an input and $y_m(t)$ as its output. A feedback controller can be proposed to regulate the system output $y(t)$ to track the reference signal $y_m(t)$. Consequently, the input-output relationship for the closed-loop system is $u_T(t) \rightarrow y(t)$. Unlike the relationship $v(t) \rightarrow y(t)$ which exhibits a severe hysteresis nonlinearity with a sharp and narrow temperature window in its phase transition region, the closed-loop relationship $u_T(t) \rightarrow y(t)$ is reconfigurable, for example, a linear relationship shown in Fig. 3(b). The shape of the reconfigurable phase transition
This system is a cascaded system with a first-order dynamics (TE module) and a hysteresis nonlinearity (VO$_2$ sample). The control objective is to find an input voltage $u(t)$ that can regulate the output, i.e., the optical transmittance of VO$_2$, $y(t)$, to a desired reference signal $y_m(t)$, with the error dynamics satisfying (6),

$$\dot{e}(t) = -ke(t),$$

where $e(t) = y_m(t) - y(t)$ is the tracking error, and $k > 0$ is the error feedback gain which guarantees $\lim_{t \to \infty} e(t) = 0$. Consequently, the relationship $v(t) \to y(t)$ can be reconfigured as the relationship $u_T(t) \to y(t)$.

### III. PROPOSED FEEDBACK CONTROL MECHANISM

#### A. Reference Model

![Figure 4. Illustration of reference models.](image)

The reference signal $y_m(t)$ is generated by the following reference model

$$y_m(t) = h(u_T(t), w_T, m_T),$$

where $u_T(t)$ is an analog regulation signal, and $w_T$ and $m_T$ are two constant parameters which represent the regulation window and the modulation depth, respectively. These two parameters are determined by the application demand and the property of the VO$_2$ sample. $h$ is a monotonic function. The analog regulation signal $u_T$ is limited within $[u_{T1}, u_{T2}]$, where $u_{T1}$ and $u_{T2}$ are lower and upper bounds of the regulation signal $u_T$. The phase transition window is defined as $w_T = u_{T2} - u_{T1}$. The output $y_m(t)$ is within $[y_{m1}, y_{m2}]$, where $y_{m1}$ and $y_{m2}$ are the minimum and maximum outputs of the VO$_2$ sample. The modulation depth is defined as $m_T = y_{m2} - y_{m1}$. The reference model can be either linear, e.g.,

$$y_m(t) = h(u_T(t), w_T, m_T)$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 4 (a); or nonlinear, e.g.,

$$y_m(t) = h(u_T(t), w_T, m_T)$$

$$= \frac{m_T}{2} \tanh(-2(u_T(t) - \frac{w_T}{2} - u_{T1})) + \frac{m_T}{2} + y_{m1},$$

where $y_{m1}$ is the minimum output of the closed-loop system. Combining (1) and (3), the dynamics of the open-loop system can be described by

$$T \dot{v}(t) = -v(t) + Ku(t) + \delta(t),$$

$$y(t) = \Phi[v](t).$$

This system is a cascaded system with a first-order dynamics satisfying (6),

![Figure 4. Illustration of reference models.](image)

(a) Linear model (8)

(b) Nonlinear model (9)

From (14), there is

$$u_T(t) = \frac{1}{b} [\dot{y}_m(t) + ke(t) + ay(t) - u_d(t)].$$

In order to achieve (6), the controller can be chosen as

$$\dot{u}_d(t) = g_f(t) * u_d(t) = g_f(t) * (\dot{y}_m(t) + ay(t) - bu(t)).$$

From (11), there is $u_d(t) = \dot{y}_m(t) + ay(t) - bu(t)$. Based on the idea of the UDE-based robust control [25], $u_d(t)$ can be estimated with a strictly proper and stable filter $G_f(s)$ as

$$\dot{u}_d(t) = g_f(t) * u_d(t) = g_f(t) * (\dot{y}_m(t) + ay(t) - bu(t)).$$

where $g_f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{G_f(s)\}$ is the impulse response of the filter $G_f(s)$. If this filter has the unity gain and zero phase shift over the spectrum of $u_d(t)$ and zero gain elsewhere, then the estimation is accurate. By replacing $u_d(t)$ in (14)
The overall closed-loop system is then obtained as

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{b} \left[ ay(t) - \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{sG_f(s)}{1 - G_f(s)} \right\} * y(t) + \right.$$

$$\left. \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - G_f(s)} \right\} * (\hat{y}_m(t) + ke(t)) \right].$$

The overall closed-loop system is demonstrated in Fig. 5. In the controller (16), the derivative term \(\hat{y}_m(t)\) can be implemented through a low pass filter. More detailed knowledge about the proposed control methodology including the parameter selection and stability analysis can be referred to [27].

C. Performance Analysis

Since the time constant \(T\) for the TE module is very large, the lumped uncertainty term \(u_d(t)\) is slowly varying. The low-pass filter in the controller (16) can be chosen as

$$G_f(s) = \frac{1}{\tau s + 1},$$

where \(\tau > 0\) is the time constant. The error dynamics for the overall closed-loop system is then obtained as

$$\dot{e}(t) = -ke(t) + \tilde{u}_d(t) - u_d(t)$$

$$= -ke(t) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{-\tau s}{\tau s + 1} \right\} * u_d(t).$$

Considering the reference signal \(y_m(t)\) as a step type, the lumped uncertainty term \(u_d(t)\) can be viewed as an unknown step type signal. Consequently, the Laplace transform of \(u_d(t)\) can be obtained as \(\frac{D}{\tau}\), where \(D\) is an unknown constant. Let \(e_0\) be the initial value of \(e(t)\). Therefore, (18) can be written as

$$\dot{e}(t) = -ke(t) - D \exp \left( -\frac{t}{\tau} \right).$$

The tracking error is solved via

$$e(t) = \left( e_0 + \frac{D \tau}{\tau k - 1} \right) \exp(-kt) + \frac{D \tau}{\tau k - 1} \exp \left( -\frac{t}{\tau} \right).$$

In (20), the first part can be made to vanish fast by choosing a large \(k\). The vanishing speed of the second part is determined by \(\tau\). It can be seen that the tracking error \(e(t)\) could converge to 0 when \(t \to \infty\), and the transient performance is determined by \(k\) and \(\tau\). The larger value of \(k\) and the smaller value of \(\tau\), the faster the convergence is. However, a very large \(k\) may result in control saturation in practice. And there also exists a trade-off for selecting \(\tau\) as discussed in [26]. A high filter bandwidth (very small \(\tau\)) will amplify the high frequency measurement noise. Consequently, both \(k\) and \(\tau\) should be selected properly to satisfy the control requirement.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

The proposed feedback control design is validated through an experiment in this section.

A. Experimental Setup

Fig. 6 shows the experimental setup for the validation, where a thin W-doped \(VO_2\) sample is attached to an aluminum block (used as a heat sink), and a Peltier TE module is used for heating/cooling the \(VO_2\) sample. A
infrared laser is directed into a beam splitter and decomposed into 1% and 99% parts. The 1% of input power is used as a reference, and the 99% of the input power is sent through the VO<sub>2</sub> thin film. Thorlabs’ PM100USB optical power sensors are used to detect the reference optical power \( P_{r_{ref}} = 1\%P_{\text{total}} \) and the optical power \( P_{\text{filter}} \) which is being filtered by the VO<sub>2</sub> thin film. Then the optical transmittance is calculated by \( y(t) = \frac{P_{\text{filter}}}{P_{r_{ref}}} \times 100\% \). The Peltier TE module is driven by the PWM driver and the control signal is generated by a NI myRIO controller. The sampling time is set as 1.5s. The hysteresis major loop of the measured temperature-transmittance relationship for the W-doped VO<sub>2</sub> sample is shown in Fig. 7(a), where the optical transmittance of the VO<sub>2</sub> sample varies from 21% to 29%. Fig. 7(b) shows the step response of the Peltier TE module, where the time constant \( T \) of the TE module is identified as 120s. The performance of the Peltier TE module will be further improved in future work.

B. Experimental Results

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the developed controller (16) for continuous phase tuning within the phase transition region, two cases are considered in this section. The intermediate operational states can be selected within the full operational range of the VO<sub>2</sub> film.

1) Piecewise Continuous Phase Tuning: In this case, the transmittance values of 21%, 25% and 27% are selected as intermediate operational states, and the reference signal \( y_m(t) \) is given as

\[
y_m(t) = \begin{cases} 
25\%, & 0s \sim 500s \\
21\%, & 500s \sim 1000s \\
25\%, & 1000s \sim 1500s \\
27\%, & 1500s \sim 2000s 
\end{cases}
\]

The reference model can be chosen as

\[
y_m(t) = \begin{cases} 
21\%, & u_T \in [0,1) \\
25\% & u_T \in [1,2) \\
27\% & u_T \in [2,3] 
\end{cases}
\]

By following the guidelines in [27], the control parameters (16) are chosen as \( a = 0.01 \), \( b = -5 \), \( G_f(s) = \frac{1}{\frac{400s+1}{s}+1} \) and \( k = 200 \). Fig. 8 shows the piecewise continuous phase tuning results. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the optical transmittance of VO<sub>2</sub> film is successfully regulated to the desired intermediate operational states. The tracking error is plotted in Fig. 8(b), where the steady state error is within 0.1%. The temperature-transmittance \((v-y)\) relationship is depicted in Fig. 8(c), where the three red dash-dot lines represent three intermediate operational states. It can be seen that the system output \( y \) can be successfully regulated to the desired intermediate operational states, 21%, 25% and 27% at steady state. The \( u_T - y \) relationship is then demonstrated in Fig. 8(d) as piecewise continuous, instead of hysteric. Figs. 8(e) and (f) illustrate the profiles of the sample temperature and the control input voltage, respectively.

2) Triangular Wave Continuous Phase Tuning: In this case, the intermediate operational states are selected as a continuous triangular wave between 22% and 27% with a period of 1000s. Then, the reference signal \( y_m(t) \) is formulated as

\[
y_m(t) = \begin{cases} 
-0.010t + 27, & 0s \sim 500s \\
0.010(t - 500) + 22, & 500s \sim 1000s \\
-0.010(t - 1500) + 22, & 1000s \sim 1500s \\
0.010(t - 1500) + 22, & 1500s \sim 2000s 
\end{cases}
\]

The reference model can be chosen as

\[
y_m(t) = -\frac{5}{3} u_T(t) + 27, \quad u_T \in [0,3].
\]

The control parameters are chosen as \( a = 0.01 \), \( b = -5 \), \( G_f(s) = \frac{1}{\frac{400s+1}{s}+1} \) and \( k = 300 \). The tuning performance is demonstrated in Fig. 9. Fig. 9(a) demonstrates the phase tuning performance along the triangular wave reference. The steady state error is also within 0.1%, as shown in Fig. 9(b). The temperature-transmittance \((v-y)\) relationship in Fig. 9(c) illustrates the tuned phase trajectory within the transition region. Fig. 9(d) shows the \( u_T - y \) relationship as linear.
The profiles of the sample temperature and the control input voltage are shown in Figs. 9 (c) and (f), respectively.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, the results in the paper have shown the feasibility of applying the feedback control mechanism to achieve continuous phase tuning of $\text{VO}_2$ films within the full phase transition region. With the excellent performance of the UDE-based robust controller, continuous optical transmittance control for $\text{VO}_2$ films has been achieved without using detailed hysteresis modeling information. The phase transition of the composite $\text{VO}_2$ device has been reconfigured by properly selecting a reference model. The effectiveness of the proposed feedback mechanism has been verified via an experimental validation.
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