

EX 6.1.1: Given the following sample from a hypothetical population of all fuel efficiencies of 6-cylinder vehicles (in mpg):

x : 21.0, 15.0, 21.0, 21.4, 18.1, 19.2, 17.8, 19.7, 13.0, 35.0

- (a) Compute the point estimate of the population mean fuel efficiency μ .

Compute the sample mean since it's an unbiased estimator of the population mean.

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k = \frac{1}{10} (21.0 + 15.0 + 21.0 + 21.4 + 18.1 + 19.2 + 17.8 + 19.7 + 13.0 + 35.0) = \left(\frac{1}{10} \right) (201.2) = \boxed{20.12 \text{ mpg}}$$

- (b) Compute the point estimate of the population variance of the fuel efficiency σ^2 .

Compute the sample variance since it's an unbiased estimator of the population variance.

$$S_{xx} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^2 = 4360.14 - \left(\frac{1}{10} \right) (201.2)^2 = 311.996 \implies s^2 = \frac{S_{xx}}{n-1} = \frac{311.996}{9} \approx \boxed{34.6662 \text{ (mpg)}^2}$$

- (c) Compute the point estimate of the proportion of all such 6-cylinder vehicles whose fuel efficiency is less than 20 mpg.

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n} = \frac{(\# \text{ data points in sample } < 20 \text{ mpg})}{(\text{Sample Size})} = \frac{6}{10} = \boxed{0.60} \qquad \hat{q} = 1 - \hat{p} = 1 - 0.60 = 0.40$$

Note that in this context, $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \hat{p}) \implies \mathbb{E}[X] = n\hat{p}$ and $\mathbb{V}[X] = n\hat{p}\hat{q}$

- (d) Compute the estimated standard error $\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{X}}$ of the point estimator \bar{X} .

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{X}} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}]} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2/n} = \sqrt{s^2/n} \approx \sqrt{34.6662/10} = \sqrt{3.46662} \approx \boxed{1.8619}$$

- (e) Compute the estimated standard error $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}}$ of the point estimator $\hat{p} := X/n$.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[X/n]} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{V}[X]} = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[X]} = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{n\hat{p}\hat{q}} = \frac{1}{10} \sqrt{(10)(0.6)(0.4)} \approx \boxed{0.1549}$$

EX 6.1.2: Given a random sample X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} from a population with mean μ_1 and variance σ_1^2 , and given a random sample Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2} from a population with mean μ_2 and variance σ_2^2 .

- (a) Show that the point estimator $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mu_1 - \mu_2$.

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X} - \bar{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] - \mathbb{E}[\bar{Y}] = \mu_1 - \mu_2$$

- (b) Find the expression for the standard error of the point estimator $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$.

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X} - \bar{Y}]} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}] + \mathbb{V}[\bar{Y}]} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

- (c) Find the expression for the estimated standard error of the point estimator $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} \quad \text{where } \begin{array}{l} s_1^2 \text{ is the sample variance of } x_1, \dots, x_{n_1} \\ s_2^2 \text{ is the sample variance of } y_1, \dots, y_{n_2} \end{array}$$

EX 6.1.3: Let random sample $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

- (a) Find the expression for the standard error of the sample mean \bar{X} .

First, realize that $X_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \implies \mathbb{E}[X_1] = \lambda, \quad \mathbb{V}[X_1] = \lambda$
 $X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \implies \mathbb{E}[X_2] = \lambda, \quad \mathbb{V}[X_2] = \lambda$

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}]} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2)\right]} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\mathbb{V}[X_1] + \mathbb{V}[X_2])} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda + \lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\lambda} = \boxed{\sqrt{\lambda/2}}$$

- (b) Show that point estimator $\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}$ is an unbiased estimator of the parameter λ .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{5}{3}X_1 - \frac{2}{3}X_2\right] = \frac{5}{3} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_1] - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_2] = \frac{5}{3}\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\lambda = \lambda$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}\right] = \lambda \implies \text{point estimator } \frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3} \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \lambda$$

- (c) Show that point estimator $\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}$ is an unbiased estimator of the parameter λ .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{7}{10}X_1 + \frac{3}{10}X_2\right] = \frac{7}{10} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_1] + \frac{3}{10} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_2] = \frac{7}{10}\lambda + \frac{3}{10}\lambda = \lambda$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}\right] = \lambda \implies \text{point estimator } \frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10} \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \lambda$$

- (d) Which of the two point estimators, $\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}$ and $\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}$, is a better estimator of λ ?

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}\right] = \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{5}{3}X_1 - \frac{2}{3}X_2\right] = \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^2 \cdot \mathbb{V}[X_1] + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \cdot \mathbb{V}[X_2] = \frac{25}{9}\lambda + \frac{4}{9}\lambda = \frac{29}{9}\lambda$$

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}\right] = \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{7}{10}X_1 + \frac{3}{10}X_2\right] = \left(\frac{7}{10}\right)^2 \cdot \mathbb{V}[X_1] + \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^2 \cdot \mathbb{V}[X_2] = \frac{49}{100}\lambda + \frac{9}{100}\lambda = \frac{58}{100}\lambda$$

$$\text{Since } \lambda > 0, \frac{58}{100}\lambda < \frac{29}{9}\lambda \implies \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10}\right] < \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{5X_1 - 2X_2}{3}\right] \implies \boxed{\frac{7X_1 + 3X_2}{10} \text{ is a better estimator of } \lambda}$$

- (e) Show that point estimator $X_1^2 - X_2$ is not an unbiased estimator of the parameter λ .

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1^2 - X_2] = \mathbb{E}[X_1^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_2] = [\mathbb{V}[X_1] + (\mathbb{E}[X_1])^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_2] = [\lambda + \lambda^2] - \lambda = \lambda^2$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}[X_1^2 - X_2] \neq \lambda \implies \text{point estimator } X_1^2 - X_2 \text{ is not an unbiased estimator of } \lambda$$

EX 6.1.4: Sometimes it's easier to find a point estimator of a function of a population parameter.

Let random sample $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ pdf $f_X(x; \theta) := \frac{\theta}{x^4}$ for $0 < \theta \leq x < \infty$

- (a) Show that the sample mean \bar{X} is not an unbiased estimator of $1/\theta$.

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1] = \int_{\text{Supp}(X_1)} x \cdot f_X(x; \theta) dx = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} x \cdot \frac{\theta}{x^4} dx = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \theta x^{-3} dx$$

$$= \left[\frac{\theta x^{-3+1}}{-3+1} \right]_{x=\theta}^{x \rightarrow \infty} = \left[-\frac{\theta}{2x^2} \right]_{x=\theta}^{x \rightarrow \infty} \stackrel{FTC}{=} 0 - \left(-\frac{\theta}{2\theta^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2\theta}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] \neq 1/\theta \implies \text{sample mean } \bar{X} \text{ is not an unbiased estimator of } 1/\theta$$

- (b) Based on your work from the previous part, construct an unbiased estimator of $1/\theta$.

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{2\theta} \text{ suggests that } \boxed{2\bar{X}} \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } 1/\theta$$

$$\mathbb{E}[2\bar{X}] = 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = (2) \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$