

**EX 9.5.1:** A manufacturing plant produces a certain type of brake pad used in big rig trucks.

A sample of 11 day-shift workers showed that the mean # of brake pads produced was 56 with a std dev of 4.2.

A sample of 16 night-shift workers showed that the mean # of brake pads produced was 48 with a std dev of 7.1.

Assume that the two samples are independent and the two corresponding populations are normally distributed.

Does the data suggest differing variability in the # brake pads produced by day-shift workers and night-shift workers?

(Use significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ )

- (a) Identify  $\sigma_+$ ,  $\sigma_-$  and state the appropriate null hypothesis  $H_0$  & alternative hypothesis  $H_A$ .

Identify & subscript the relevant sample variables, dof's and pop. std dev of smaller-std dev sample with minus signs.

Identify & subscript the relevant sample variables, dof's and pop. std dev of larger-std dev sample with plus signs.

$$s_+ = \max\{4.2, 7.1\} = 7.1 \implies n_+ = 16 \implies \nu_+ = n_+ - 1 = 15$$

$$s_- = \min\{4.2, 7.1\} = 4.2 \implies n_- = 11 \implies \nu_- = n_- - 1 = 10$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sigma_+ &\equiv (\text{Standard deviation of night-shift brake pad production}) \\ \sigma_- &\equiv (\text{Standard deviation of day-shift brake pad production}) \end{aligned} \implies \begin{array}{l} H_0 : \sigma_+ = \sigma_- \\ H_A : \sigma_+ \neq \sigma_- \end{array}$$

- (b) Compute the two  $F$ -test statistic values,  $f_+$  &  $f_-$ , for this hypothesis test.

$$f_- = \frac{s_-^2}{s_+^2} = \frac{4.2^2}{7.1^2} \approx \boxed{0.34993} \qquad f_+ = \frac{s_+^2}{s_-^2} = \frac{7.1^2}{4.2^2} \approx \boxed{2.85771}$$

- (c) Identify the two appropriate  $F$ -cutoffs,  $f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^*$  &  $f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*$ , and lookup their table values.

$$f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* = \frac{1}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*} = \frac{1}{f_{10, 15; 0.005}^*} \stackrel{\text{LOOKUP}}{\approx} \frac{1}{4.424} \approx \boxed{0.226} \qquad f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^* = f_{15, 10; 0.005}^* \stackrel{\text{LOOKUP}}{\approx} \boxed{5.471}$$

- (d) Use software to compute the appropriate P-value.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P-value} &= \Phi_F(f_-; \nu_-, \nu_+) + [1 - \Phi_F(f_+; \nu_+, \nu_-)] \\ &= \Phi_F(0.34993; 10, 15) + [1 - \Phi_F(2.85771; 15, 10)] \\ &\stackrel{SW}{\approx} 0.04931 + [1 - 0.95069] = \boxed{0.09862} \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Using the computed  $F$ -cutoffs, render the appropriate decision.

$$\text{Observe that } f_- \approx 0.34993 > 0.226 \approx f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* \implies f_- > f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* \implies f_- \not\leq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^*$$

$$\text{Observe that } f_+ \approx 2.85771 < 5.471 \approx f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^* \implies f_+ < f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^* \implies f_+ \not\geq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*$$

$$\therefore \text{Since } f_- \not\leq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* \text{ and } f_+ \not\geq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*, \quad \boxed{\text{Accept } H_0}$$

There is not enough compelling evidence from the data to conclude that the variability in brake pad production differs between the day shift and night shift.

- (f) Using the computed P-value, render the appropriate decision.

$$\text{Since P-value} \approx 0.09862 > 0.01 = \alpha, \quad \boxed{\text{Accept } H_0}$$

- (g) Construct the 99%  $F$ -CI for  $\sigma_+^2/\sigma_-^2$ .

$$\left( \frac{f_-}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}, \frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{f_+} \right) = \left( \frac{s_-^2/s_+^2}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}, \frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{s_+^2/s_-^2} \right) = \left( \frac{4.2^2/7.1^2}{4.424}, \frac{5.471}{7.1^2/4.2^2} \right) = \boxed{(0.0791, 1.9145)}$$

Sanity Check: Notice that the  $F$ -CI contains the number one, which is expected since  $H_0$  was accepted.

- (h) Construct the 99%  $F$ -CI for  $\sigma_+/\sigma_-$ .

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{f_-}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}}, \sqrt{\frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{f_+}} \right) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{s_-^2/s_+^2}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}}, \sqrt{\frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{s_+^2/s_-^2}} \right) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{4.2^2/7.1^2}{4.424}}, \sqrt{\frac{5.471}{7.1^2/4.2^2}} \right) = \boxed{(0.2812, 1.3837)}$$

Sanity Check: Notice that the  $F$ -CI contains the number one, which is expected since  $H_0$  was accepted.

**EX 9.5.2:** A manufacturing plant produces a certain type of brake pad used in big rig trucks.

A sample of 21 day-shift workers showed that the mean # of brake pads produced was 58 with a variance of 95.45.

A sample of 31 night-shift workers showed that the mean # of brake pads produced was 63 with a variance of 26.58.

Assume that the two samples are independent and the two corresponding populations are normally distributed.

Does the data suggest differing variability in the # brake pads produced by day-shift workers and night-shift workers?

(Use significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ )

- (a) Identify  $\sigma_+^2, \sigma_-^2$  and state the appropriate null hypothesis  $H_0$  & alternative hypothesis  $H_A$ .

Identify & subscript the relevant sample variables, dof's and pop. variance of larger-variance sample with plus signs.

Identify & subscript the relevant sample variables, dof's and pop. variance of smaller-variance sample with minus signs.

$$s_+^2 = \max\{95.45, 26.58\} = 95.45 \implies n_+ = 21 \implies \nu_+ = n_+ - 1 = 20$$

$$s_-^2 = \min\{95.45, 26.58\} = 26.58 \implies n_- = 31 \implies \nu_- = n_- - 1 = 30$$

$$\therefore \begin{array}{l} \sigma_+^2 \equiv (\text{Variance of day-shift brake pad production}) \\ \sigma_-^2 \equiv (\text{Variance of night-shift brake pad production}) \end{array} \implies \begin{array}{l} H_0 : \sigma_+^2 = \sigma_-^2 \\ H_A : \sigma_+^2 \neq \sigma_-^2 \end{array}$$

- (b) Compute the two  $F$ -test statistic values,  $f_+$  &  $f_-$ , for this hypothesis test.

$$f_- = \frac{s_-^2}{s_+^2} = \frac{26.58}{95.45} \approx \boxed{0.27847} \qquad f_+ = \frac{s_+^2}{s_-^2} = \frac{95.45}{26.58} \approx \boxed{3.59105}$$

- (c) Identify the two appropriate  $F$ -cutoffs,  $f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^*$  &  $f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*$ , and lookup their table values.

$$f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* = \frac{1}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*} = \frac{1}{f_{30, 20; 0.025}^*} \stackrel{\text{LOOKUP}}{\approx} \frac{1}{2.349} \approx \boxed{0.426} \qquad f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^* = f_{20, 30; 0.025}^* \stackrel{\text{LOOKUP}}{\approx} \boxed{2.195}$$

- (d) Use software to compute the appropriate P-value.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P-value} &= \Phi_F(f_-; \nu_-, \nu_+) + [1 - \Phi_F(f_+; \nu_+, \nu_-)] \\ &= \Phi_F(0.27847; 30, 20) + [1 - \Phi_F(3.59105; 20, 30)] \\ &\stackrel{SW}{\approx} 0.00079787 + [1 - 0.9992021] = \boxed{0.001596} \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Using the computed  $F$ -cutoffs, render the appropriate decision.

$$\text{Observe that } f_- \approx 0.27847 < 0.426 \approx f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* \implies f_- \leq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^*$$

$$\text{Observe that } f_+ \approx 3.59105 > 2.195 \approx f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^* \implies f_+ \geq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*$$

$$\therefore \text{ Since } f_- \leq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; 1-\alpha/2}^* \text{ and } f_+ \geq f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*, \quad \boxed{\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ in favor of } H_A}$$

The sample evidence is compelling enough to conclude that it's plausible that the variability in brake pad production differs between the day shift and night shift.

- (f) Using the computed P-value, render the appropriate decision.

$$\text{Since P-value} \approx 0.001596 < 0.05 = \alpha, \quad \boxed{\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ in favor of } H_A}$$

- (g) Construct the 95%  $F$ -CI for  $\sigma_+^2/\sigma_-^2$ .

$$\left( \frac{f_-}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}, \frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{f_+} \right) = \left( \frac{s_-^2/s_+^2}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}, \frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{s_+^2/s_-^2} \right) = \left( \frac{26.58/95.45}{2.349}, \frac{2.195}{95.45/26.58} \right) = \boxed{(0.1185, 0.6112)}$$

Sanity Check: Notice that the  $F$ -CI does not contain the number one, which is expected since  $H_0$  was rejected.

- (h) Construct the 95%  $F$ -CI for  $\sigma_+/\sigma_-$ .

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{f_-}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}}, \sqrt{\frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{f_+}} \right) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{s_-^2/s_+^2}{f_{\nu_-, \nu_+; \alpha/2}^*}}, \sqrt{\frac{f_{\nu_+, \nu_-; \alpha/2}^*}{s_+^2/s_-^2}} \right) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{26.58/95.45}{2.349}}, \sqrt{\frac{2.195}{95.45/26.58}} \right) = \boxed{(0.3443, 0.7818)}$$

Sanity Check: Notice that the  $F$ -CI does not contain the number one, which is expected since  $H_0$  was rejected.