Logic: Verifying Quantified Arguments Contemporary Math

Josh Engwer

TTU

22 July 2015

Josh Engwer (TTU)

Last section involved verifying **arguments**. Now, let's consider **arguments with quantifiers**.

Definition

(Quantified Argument)

A quantified argument is an argument with at least one quantifier.

Another name for quantified argument is **syllogism**.

Example quantified argument:

All people have a phone. Phil is a person.

.:. Phil has a phone.

Verifying a quantified argument involves drawing an Euler diagram.





All Q's are P's.



In this case, sets P & Q are coincident (equal)

Josh Engwer (TTU)



No *P*'s are *Q*'s. **No** *Q*'s are *P*'s.



Some *P*'s are *Q*'s. (in green) Some *Q*'s are *P*'s. (in green) Some *P*'s are not *Q*'s. (in blue) Some *Q*'s are not *P*'s. (in beige)







Some Q's are P's.

In this case, sets P & Q are coincident (equal)

Josh Engwer (TTU)



Some *P*'s are not *Q*'s. Some *Q*'s are not *P*'s.

WEX 3-5-1:

Using Euler Diagram(s), determine whether this argument is valid or not:

All people have a phone. Phil is a person.

.:. Phil has a phone.

Euler Diagrams (Example)

WEX 3-5-1:

Using Euler Diagram(s), determine whether this argument is valid or not:



.:. Phil has a phone.

CASE I: The set of all phones is exactly equal to the set of all people

Euler Diagrams (Example)

WEX 3-5-1:

Using Euler Diagram(s), determine whether this argument is valid or not:



.:. Phil has a phone.

CASE II: The set of all phones contains the set of all people

Euler Diagrams (Example)

WEX 3-5-1:

Using Euler Diagram(s), determine whether this argument is valid or not:



.: Phil has a phone.

In all possible cases, Phil is always inside the set of all phones.

Hence, the argument is **valid**

Josh Engwer (TTU)



With quantified arguments with **3 sets**, there are far too many possibilities to show here!!

Hence, what follows are two cases to illustrate some of these possibilities.

Josh Engwer (TTU)

Logic: Verifying Quantified Arguments

22 July 2015 16 / 29

PART I:All Q's are P's.All R's are Q's. \therefore ????





·. ????

In this case, sets P & Q are coincident (equal)



∴ ???<u>?</u>?

In this case, sets Q & R are coincident (equal)



·. ????

In this case, all three sets P, Q, R are coincident (equal)

PART II:

All Q's are P's. Some P's are R's. \therefore ????

Josh Engwer (TTU)









·. ?????



·· ????

When verifying a quantified argument:

- STEP 1: Draw "No"-quantified premises as circles.
- STEP 2: Draw "All"-quantified premises as circles.
- STEP 3: Draw "Some"-quantified premises as circles.
- STEP 4: Draw particular instances (e.g. Phil is a person) as points. (At this point, the resulting Euler Diagram satisfies all the premises.)
- STEP 5: If the resulting Euler Diagram does **not** satisfy the conclusion, then argument is **invalid**.
- Otherwise, repeat STEPS 1-5 for each case that satisfies all premises.
 - It's best to consider cases where two or more sets are coincident last.
- If all cases that satify all premises also satisfy the conclusion, then argument is **valid**.

Fin.