VECTORS: CROSS PRODUCT [SST 9.4]

DETERMINANT OF A 2x2 MATRIX:

• Matrix
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \implies \det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$$

CROSS PRODUCT OF TWO VECTORS:

$$\bullet \ \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \implies \mathbf{Cross \ product} \ \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathbf{i}} & \widehat{\mathbf{j}} & \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} v_2 & v_3 \\ w_2 & w_3 \end{array} \right| \widehat{\mathbf{i}} - \left| \begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_3 \end{array} \right| \widehat{\mathbf{j}} + \left| \begin{array}{ccc} v_1 & v_2 \\ w_1 & w_2 \end{array} \right| \widehat{\mathbf{k}}$$

- Nonzero nonparallel vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \implies \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$ is orthogonal to both $\mathbf{v} \ \& \ \mathbf{w}$.
- $||\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}|| = ||\mathbf{v}|| ||\mathbf{w}|| \sin(\theta_{vw})$, where the **angle between the two vectors** $\theta_{vw} \in [0, \pi]$ and nonzero vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$
- RIGHT-HAND RULE:

Point right arm along \mathbf{v} & curl fingers toward \mathbf{w} to cover angle θ_{vw} , then thumb points in the direction of $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$.

- Notice that the cross product $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$ is a vector **orthogonal to both vectors v** & \mathbf{w} .
- Nonzero vectors \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are parallel $\iff \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$

PROPERTIES OF CROSS PRODUCTS:

(Let vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and scalars $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$)

- $(s\mathbf{v}) \times (t\mathbf{w}) = st(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w})$
- $\mathbf{v} \times \vec{\mathbf{0}} = \vec{\mathbf{0}} \times \mathbf{v} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$
- $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = -(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v})$
- $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$
- $\mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w})$
- $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w})$
- $||\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}||^2 = ||\mathbf{v}||^2 ||\mathbf{w}||^2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})^2$
- $\mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{c}$

CROSS PRODUCTS & AREA:

- DEFINITION: Vertices $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are called **collinear** if all three vertices lie on the same straight line.
- Given a parallelogram generated by nonzero nonparallel vectors {AB, AC}, then:

AREA OF PARALLELOGRAM
$$(\mathbf{AB}, \mathbf{AC}) = ||\mathbf{AB} \times \mathbf{AC}||$$

• Given a triangle generated by distinct noncollinear vertices $\{A, B, C\}$, then:

AREA OF TRIANGLE
$$(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{2}||\mathbf{AB} \times \mathbf{AC}||$$

SCALAR TRIPLE PRODUCT & VOLUME:

- <u>DEFINITION</u>: Vertices $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are called **coplanar** if all four vertices lie on the same plane.
- DEFINITION: Vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are called **coplanar** if all three vectors lie in the same plane.
- Given a parallelopiped generated by nonzero noncoplanar vectors {AB, AC, AD}, then:

VOLUME OF PARALLELOPIPED
$$(AB, AC, AD) = |(AB \times AC) \cdot AD|$$

• Given a tetrahedron generated by distinct noncoplanar vertices $\{A, B, C, D\}$, then:

VOLUME OF TETRAHEDRON(A, B, C, D) =
$$\frac{1}{6}|(\mathbf{AB}\times\mathbf{AC})\cdot\mathbf{AD}|$$

 $\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{EX}} \ \mathbf{9.4.1:} } \quad \text{Given vectors } \mathbf{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle \text{ and } \mathbf{w} = 2 \widehat{\mathbf{i}} - \widehat{\mathbf{j}} - \widehat{\mathbf{k}},$

(a) Find $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$.

(b) Find $\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}$

(c) Find the area of the parallelogram generated by vectors $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$.

 $\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{EX}} \ \mathbf{9.4.2:} } \quad \text{Given vectors } \mathbf{v} = \widehat{\mathbf{i}} - 2\widehat{\mathbf{k}} \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{w} = \langle 0, 2, -2 \rangle,$

(a) Find $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$.

(b) Find $[(2\mathbf{v}) \times (3\mathbf{w})] \cdot (4\hat{\mathbf{k}})$

(c) Find $\sin(\theta_{vw})$.

EX 9.4.3: Find the area of the triangle generated by vertices A(0, -1, 2), B(3, 2, 1), C(5, 4, 6).

$\boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.5:}} \text{Let } \mathbf{v} - \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle \text{ and } \mathbf{w} = \langle -3, 1, -2 \rangle. \text{ Find a } \mathbf{unit} \text{ vector } \hat{\mathbf{u}} \text{ such that } \hat{\mathbf{u}} \text{ is orthogonal to both } \mathbf{v} \text{ & } \mathbf{w}. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \\ \boxed{ \textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{Explain (briefly)} \text{why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \\ }$
$\boxed{ \underline{\textbf{EX 9.4.6:}}} \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\underline{\mathbf{EX 9.4.6:}}} \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\underline{\mathbf{EX}} \ \mathbf{9.4.6:}} \ \text{ Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\underline{\mathbf{EX 9.4.6:}}} \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\underline{\mathbf{EX 9.4.6:}}} \ \mathrm{Explain} (\mathrm{briefly}) \mathrm{why} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{following} \mathrm{statement} \mathrm{makes} \mathrm{no} \mathrm{sense:} (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \mathrm{where} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{EX 9.4.6:}}} \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
$\boxed{\textbf{EX 9.4.6:}} \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}), \text{where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
EX 9.4.6: Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u})$, where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{EX} \ \mathbf{9.4.7:}} } \ \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}), \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$
$\boxed{ \underline{\mathbf{EX 9.4.8:}} } \text{Explain (briefly) why the following statement makes no sense: } (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w}, \text{ where } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$