EX 4.2.2: Show that
$$S := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
 is not a vector space

Three ways are shown below, but remember only <u>one</u> is sufficient to show S is not a vector space.

<u>METHOD 1</u>: Show that the 3×3 zero matrix is not in S:

$$O_{3\times3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \text{Main diagonal entries of } O_{3\times3} \text{ are not all ones } \Longrightarrow O_{3\times3} \notin S \implies \text{Zero Matrix is not contained}$$

METHOD 2: Show that some cleverly-chosen matrix is in S but its additive inverse is not in S:

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies -A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \implies A \in S \text{ and } -A \notin S \implies \text{Additive Inverse is not closed}$

<u>METHOD 3:</u> Show that some cleverly-chosen matrices are in S but their sum is not in S:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \implies A, B \in S \text{ and } A + B \notin S$
 \implies Matrix Addition is not closed

Matrix Addition is not close

<u>METHOD 4:</u> Show that some cleverly-chosen matrix is in S but some clever-chosen scalar multiple is not in S:

Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies 2B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \implies B \in S \text{ and } 2B \notin S \implies \text{Scalar Multiplication is not closed}$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{EX 4.2.3:}} \text{ Show that } S := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} \ge 0 \right\} \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ a vector space.}$$
Notice that the matrix vector $\underline{\text{is}} \text{ in } S : O_{3\times3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in S \text{ (since all entries above main diagonal are } \ge 0)$

Moreover, matrix addition is indeed closed in S:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} \ge 0 \\ a_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23} \ge 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Moreover, $A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (a_{12} + b_{12}) & (a_{13} + b_{13}) \\ 0 & 0 & (a_{23} + b_{23}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12} + b_{12} \ge 0 \\ a_{13} + b_{13} \ge 0 \\ a_{13} + b_{13} \ge 0 \\ a_{23} + b_{23} \ge 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12} + b_{12} \ge 0 \\ a_{13} + b_{13} \ge 0 \\ a_{23} + b_{23} \ge 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Matrix Addition is closed

Therefore, one of the below ways is necessary to show S is not a vector space.

<u>METHOD 1:</u> Show that some cleverly-chosen matrix is in S but its additive inverse is not in S:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies -A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies A \in S \text{ and } -A \notin S \implies \text{Additive Inverse is not closed}$$

METHOD 2: Show that some cleverly-chosen matrix is in S but some clever-chosen scalar multiple is not in S:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies (-2)B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies B \in S$$
 and $(-2)B \notin S \implies$ Scalar Multiplication is not closed

©2015 Josh Engwer - Revised September 30, 2015

<u>EX 4.2.4</u> Show that $S := \{at^2 + bt + c : a \text{ is divisible by } 2 \text{ and } b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is <u>not</u> a vector space.

Notice that the zero quadratic is in S: $z(t) = 0t^2 + 0t + 0 \implies a = 0$ a is divisible by 2 $b = 0 \implies b \in \mathbb{R} \implies z(t) \in S$ $c = 0 \qquad c \in \mathbb{R}$

Moreover, every additive inverse \underline{is} in S:

$$a \text{ is divisible by } 2$$

$$\text{Let } p(t) = at^2 + bt + c \text{ such that} \qquad b \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{Then, } p(t) \in S$$

$$c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$-a \text{ is divisible by } 2$$

$$-b \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad -p(t) \in S$$

$$-c \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \therefore \text{ Additive inverse is closed}$$

Further still, every polynomial sum \underline{is} in S:

$$\text{Let} \begin{array}{c} p(t) = a_1 t^2 + b_1 t + c_1 \\ q(t) = a_2 t^2 + b_2 t + c_2 \end{array} \text{ such that} \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2 \text{ are each divisible by } 2 \\ b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R} \\ c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \text{ Then, } p(t), q(t) \in S \\ c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Hence, } p(t) + q(t) = (a_1 + a_2)t^2 + (b_1 + b_2)t + (c_1 + c_2) \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} (a_1 + a_2) \text{ is divisible by } 2 \\ (b_1 + b_2) \in \mathbb{R} \\ (c_1 + c_2) \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} p(t) + q(t) \in S \\ \therefore \text{ Polynomial Addition is closed} \end{array}$$

Therefore, the only way that works is to show scalar multiplication is not closed.

<u>METHOD 1:</u> Show that some cleverly-chosen quadratic is in S but some cleverly-chosen scalar multiple is not in S:

Let $q(t) = 2t^2 + 2t + 2 \implies \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)q(t) = \pi t^2 + \pi t + \pi \implies q(t) \in S$ and $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)q(t) \notin S \implies$ Scalar Multiplication is not closed (Note that $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)q(t)\notin S$ since π is not divisible by 2) (Notice that $2q(t) \in S$, $3q(t) \in S$, etc... This illustrates that sometimes a **clever** choice of the scalar is critical.)