<u>EX 6.1.1</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that $T \text{ is a linear transformation.}$
It's sufficient to show that the Superposition Principle (LT5) holds: $[s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}]$
$T(\alpha s + \beta t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(\alpha s + \beta t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha s + 2\beta t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha s \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2\beta t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 2s \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \beta \begin{bmatrix} 2t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha T(s) + \beta T(t)$
$\therefore \text{ Since } T(\alpha s + \beta t) = \alpha T(s) + \beta T(t), \ T \text{ is a linear transformation.}$
<u>EX 6.1.2</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.
It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general:
$T(\vec{0}) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(0)\\1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$
$\therefore \text{ Since } T(\vec{0}) \neq \vec{0}, \ T \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ a linear transformation.}$
<u>EX 6.1.3</u> Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2t+1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.
It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general:
$T(\vec{0}) = T\left((0,0)^T\right) = (2(0)+1,(0))^T = (1,0)^T \neq (0,0)^T = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$
$\therefore \text{ Since } T(0) \neq 0, \ T \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ a linear transformation.}$
<u>EX 6.1.4</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 3x_2 - x_1$. Show that $T \text{ is a linear transformation.}$
Since the rule for T is complicated, show that the Axioms (LT1) & (LT2) hold: $[\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^T, \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)^T$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}]$
(LT1): $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 + v_1 \\ u_2 + v_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 3(u_2 + v_2) - (u_1 + v_1) = (3u_2 - u_1) + (3v_2 - v_1) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$
(LT2): $T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha v_1 \\ \alpha v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3(\alpha v_2) - (\alpha v_1) = \alpha(3v_2) - \alpha(v_1) = \alpha(3v_2 - v_1) = \alpha T(\mathbf{v})$
\therefore Since $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$ and $T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{v})$, T is a linear transformation.
<u>EX 6.1.5</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = x_1 + 4$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.
It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general:
$T(\vec{0}) = T\left((0,0)^T\right) = (0) + 4 = 4 \neq 0 = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}$
$\therefore \text{ Since } T(\vec{0}) \neq \vec{0}, \ T \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ a linear transformation.}$
<u>EX 6.1.6</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = x_1^2$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.
Unfortunately, the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does indeed hold:
$T(\vec{0}) = T\left((0,0)^T\right) = (0)^2 = 0 = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R} \implies T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$
Hence, it's easiest to show that the SM Axiom (LT2) does not hold for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:
$T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) = T\left((\alpha v_1, \alpha v_2)^T\right) = (\alpha v_1)^2 = \alpha^2 v_1^2 \neq \alpha v_1^2 = \alpha T(\mathbf{v}) \text{ for } \alpha \neq 1$
$\therefore \text{ Since } T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) \neq \alpha T(\mathbf{v}) \text{ in general, } T \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ a linear transformation.}$
<u>EX 6.1.7</u> : Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} $. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.
Alas, the "Zero Vector Property" does indeed hold: $T(\vec{0}) = (0,0)^T = \sqrt{(0)^2 + (0)^2} = 0 = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R} \implies T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$
Hence, it's easiest to show that the SM Axiom (LT2) does not hold for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:
$T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) = \alpha \mathbf{v} \stackrel{NM3}{=} \alpha \mathbf{v} \neq \alpha \mathbf{v} = \alpha T(\mathbf{v}) \text{ for } \alpha < 0$
\therefore Since $T(\alpha \mathbf{v}) \neq \alpha T(\mathbf{v})$ in general, T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation.

^{©2015} Josh Engwer – Revised November 6, 2015

<u>EX 6.1.8</u>: Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $T\left(\begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that $T \ \underline{is}$ a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the Superposition Principle (LT5) holds: $[\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^T \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)^T \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ $T(\alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v}) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha u_1 + \beta v_1 \\ \alpha u_2 + \beta v_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha u_1 + \beta v_1) - (\alpha u_2 + \beta v_2) \\ (\alpha u_1 + \beta v_1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha u_1 - \alpha u_2) + (\beta v_1 - \beta v_2) \\ (\alpha u_1) + (\beta v_1) \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha u_1 - \alpha u_2 \\ \alpha u_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \beta v_1 - \beta v_2 \\ \beta v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - u_2 \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix} + \beta \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}) + \beta T(\mathbf{v})$ \therefore Since $T(\alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{u}) + \beta T(\mathbf{v})$, T is a linear transformatio **<u>EX 6.1.9</u>**: Let transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. $T\left(\begin{vmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_1 - 2 \end{vmatrix}$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general: $T(\vec{\mathbf{0}}) = T\left(\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} (0) - (0) \\ (0) - 2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{vmatrix} \neq \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \vec{\mathbf{0}} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ \therefore Since $T(\vec{\mathbf{0}}) \neq \vec{\mathbf{0}}$, T is not a linear transformation. **EX 6.1.10:** Let transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{3\times 2} \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 3}$ s.t. $T(A) = A^T$. Show that T is a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the Superposition Principle (LT5) holds: $[A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}]$ $T(\alpha A + \beta B) = (\alpha A + \beta B)^T \stackrel{T2}{=} (\alpha A)^T + (\beta B)^T \stackrel{T3}{=} \alpha (A^T) + \beta (B^T) = \alpha T(A) + \beta T(B)$:. Since $T(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha T(A) + \beta T(B)$, T is a linear transformation. **EX 6.1.11:** Let transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2} \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ s.t. T(A) = AX - XA where X is an arbitrary 2×2 matrix. Show that T is a linear transformation. Since the rule for T is complicated, show that the Axioms (LT1) & (LT2) hold: $[A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}]$ (LT1): $T(A+B) = (A+B)X - X(A+B) \stackrel{M3/M4}{=} AX + BX - XA - XB = (AX - XA) + (BX - XB) = T(A) + T(B)$ (LT2): $T(\alpha A) = (\alpha A)X - X(\alpha A) \stackrel{M2}{=} \alpha(AX) - \alpha(XA) = \alpha(AX - XA) = \alpha T(A)$ \therefore Since T(A + B) = T(A) + T(B) and $T(\alpha A) = \alpha T(A)$, T is a linear transformation. **<u>EX 6.1.12</u>**: Let transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ s.t. $T(A) = A^{-1}$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation. Since $O_{2\times 2}$ is <u>not</u> invertible, $O_{2\times 2} \notin \text{CoDomain}(T) \implies$ "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold. Alternatively, show that the SM Axiom (LT2) does not hold for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$: (LT2): $T(\alpha A) = (\alpha A)^{-1} \stackrel{I3}{=} \frac{1}{\alpha} A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} T(A) \neq \alpha T(A)$ when $\alpha \neq 1$ \therefore Since $T(\alpha A) \neq \alpha T(A)$ in general, T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation. **<u>EX 6.1.13</u>** Let transformation $T: P_3 \to P_5$ s.t. $T(p) = x^2 p(x)$. Show that T is a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the Superposition Principle (LT5) holds: $[p, q \in P_3 \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}]$ $T(\alpha p + \beta q) = x^{2}[\alpha p(x) + \beta q(x)] = \alpha x^{2} p(x) + \beta x^{2} q(x) = \alpha [x^{2} p(x)] + \beta [x^{2} q(x)] = \alpha T(p) + \beta T(q)$ \therefore Since $T(\alpha p + \beta q) = \alpha T(p) + \beta T(q)$, T is a linear transformation. **<u>EX 6.1.14</u>** Let transformation $T: P_3 \to P_1$ s.t. T(p) = p''(x). Show that T is a linear transformation. $T(\alpha p + \beta q) = [\alpha p(x) + \beta q(x)]'' \stackrel{CALCULUS}{=} [\alpha p(x)]'' + [\beta q(x)]'' \stackrel{CALCULUS}{=} \alpha p''(x) + \beta q''(x) = \alpha T(p) + \beta T(q)$ \therefore Since $T(\alpha p + \beta q) = \alpha T(p) + \beta T(q)$, T is a linear transformation. **EX 6.1.15:** Let transformation $T: P_3 \to P_3$ s.t. $T(p) = x^3 + p(x)$. Show that T is not a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general: $T(\vec{\mathbf{0}}) = T(z(x)) = T(0x^3 + 0x^2 + 0x + 0) = x^3 + 0 = x^3 \neq 0x^3 + 0x^2 + 0x + 0 = \vec{\mathbf{0}} \in P_3$ \therefore Since $T(\vec{0}) \neq \vec{0}$, T is not a linear transformation. ©2015 Josh Engwer - Revised November 6, 2015

<u>EX 6.1.16</u>: Let transformation $T: C[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T(f) = \int_0^1 xf(x) \, dx$. Show that T is a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the Superposition Principle (LT5) holds: $[f, g \in C[0,1] \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}]$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha f + \beta g) &= \int_0^1 x[\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)] \, dx = \int_0^1 [\alpha x f(x) + \beta x g(x)] \, dx = \int_0^1 \alpha x f(x) \, dx + \int_0^1 \beta x g(x) \, dx \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 x f(x) \, dx + \beta \int_0^1 x g(x) \, dx = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g) \end{aligned}$$

 \therefore Since $T(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g)$, T is a linear transformation.

EX 6.1.17: Let transformation $T: C[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $T(f) = \int_0^1 [x+f(x)] dx$. Show that T is <u>not</u> a linear transformation. It's sufficient to show that the "Zero Vector Property" (LT3) does not hold in general: [z(x) = 0]

$$T(\vec{\mathbf{0}}) = T(z(x)) = \int_0^1 [x + z(x)] \, dx = \int_0^1 [x + (0)] \, dx = \int_0^1 x \, dx = \left[\frac{1}{2}x^2\right]_{x=0}^{x=1} \stackrel{FTC}{=} \frac{1}{2}(1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(0)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0 = \vec{\mathbf{0}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since $T(\vec{\mathbf{0}}) \neq \vec{\mathbf{0}}$, *T* is not a linear transformation.

EX 6.1.18: Let linear transformation
$$L : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
 s.t. $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} -3\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\5 \end{bmatrix}$
(a) Compute $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} -4\\8 \end{bmatrix}\right)$.

The key is to write vector $(-4,8)^T$ as a linear combination of the two given input vectors $(1,0)^T$ and $(0,1)^T$ and then use the Superposition Principle (LT5):

$$L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}-4\\8\end{array}\right]\right) = L\left(\left(-4\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right] + \left(8\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}\right]\right) \stackrel{LT5}{=} \left(-4\right)L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right]\right) + \left(8\right)L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}\right]\right) = \left(-4\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}-3\\2\end{array}\right] + \left(8\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\5\end{array}\right]$$
$$= \left[\begin{array}{c}12\\-8\end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c}8\\40\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c}20\\32\end{array}\right]$$
$$(b) \text{ Compute } L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}x_1\\x_2\end{array}\right]\right), \text{ where } x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$
$$L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}x_1\\x_2\end{array}\right]\right) = L\left(\left(x_1\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right] + \left(x_2\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}\right]\right) \stackrel{LT5}{=} \left(x_1\right)L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right]\right) + \left(x_2\right)L\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}\right]\right) = \left(x_1\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}-3\\2\end{array}\right] + \left(x_2\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\5\end{array}\right]$$
$$= \left[\begin{array}{c}-3x_1\\2x_1\end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c}x_2\\5x_2\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c}-3x_1+x_2\\2x_1+5x_2\end{array}\right]$$

<u>EX 6.1.19</u>: Let linear transformation $L: P_1 \to P_1$ s.t. L(1) = x + 5 and L(x) = 2 - 3x.

(a) Compute L(4x-3).

л.

Write linear polynomial 4x - 3 as a linear combination of the two given input linears 1 and x and then use the Superposition Principle (LT5):

$$L(4x-3) = L[4(x) - 3(1)] \stackrel{LT5}{=} 4L(x) - 3L(1) = 4(2-3x) - 3(x+5) = 8 - 12x - 3x - 15 = \boxed{-15x - 7}$$

(b) Compute L(ax + b), where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$L(ax+b) = L[a(x)+b(1)] \stackrel{LT5}{=} aL(x) + bL(1) = a(2-3x) + b(x+5) = 2a - 3ax + bx + 5b = (b-3a)x + (2a+5b) + b(2a+5b) = 2a - 3ax + bx + 5b = (b-3a)x + (2a+5b) + b(2a+5b) = 2a - 3ax + bx + 5b = (b-3a)x + (2a+5b) = 2a - 3ax + bx + 5b = (b-3a)x + (2a+5b) = 2a - 3ax + bx + 5b = (b-3a)x + (b-3a)x +$$

 $[\]textcircled{C}2015$ Josh Engwer – Revised November 6, 2015