

Simulation of time-dependent PDE's with finite elements and high-order A-stable IRK timesteppers

Josh Engwer

November 30th 2016

Explicit Runge-Kutta (ERK) timesteppers such as Forward Euler & Classic RK4 are desired due to their relative ease of implementation and low computational cost. However, they tend to be unstable for most real-world time-dependent ODE's & PDE's unless the timestep Δt is reduced to an impractically small value as dictated by possible constraints (such as the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy condition for hyperbolic PDE's for example.) At best, this results in unbearably long running times in order to achieve a stable simulation with a meaningful time interval, $[0, T]$. At worst, the required timestep size Δt is smaller than machine precision ϵ_{mach} , effectively rounding down the timestep to zero!

To help mitigate these potential issues, one is encouraged by Dalquist's 2nd Barrier to resort to A-stable implicit Runge-Kutta (IRK) timesteppers, the simplest of which is Backward Euler. Unfortunately, Backward Euler is only a 1st-order timestepper, meaning halving the timestep only halves the solution error. One can do far better than that as there are several classes of higher-order A-stable IRK timesteppers to choose from. In particular, the s -stage Gauss-Legendre (GLs) family of IRK methods will be considered as they are A-stable as well as symplectic.

TIMESTEPPER:	BUTCHER TABLEAU:		ORDER:
GL1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2^{nd} -order
GL2	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	4^{th} -order
	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}$	
		$\frac{1}{2}$	

Higher-order IRK timesteppers are typically introduced to solve certain stiff ODE's, and occasionally they are utilized together with finite difference methods (FDM's) in solving certain time-dependent PDE's. This talk will utilize these timesteppers with Lagrange finite elements in order to solve the non-linear Heat Equation equipped with inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{PDE:} & u_t - \nabla \cdot (q(u)\nabla u) = f(t; \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in } \Omega := [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \\ \text{BC's:} & u = u_D \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \text{IC:} & u = u_0 \quad \text{at } t = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

where $q(u) := u^2$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)^T$ and $u \in C^{(2,1)}(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$

The simulation codes use the open-source FEniCS finite element framework to provide the necessary finite element method, and additional Python code is used to produce the timestepping, solution visualization, L^2 solution error, validation tests, and convergence rate plots of Heat Equation problems constructed using the 'Method of Manufactured Solutions'. Some remarks regarding implementation in FEniCS will be provided.